

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 08/977,846
 11/25/97
 RYAN
 J
 M-2338-30-05

PM51/0526

NORMAN R KLIVANS SKJERVEN MORRILL MACPHERSON FRANKLIN & FRIEL 25 METRO DRIVE SUITE 700 SAN JOSE CA 95110

EXAMINER GREGURY, B	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3662	_

DATE MAILED: 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Application No. 08/977,846

Applicant(s)

John O. Ryan

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Bernarr Earl Gregory

Group Art Unit 3662

Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Mar 1999	·
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to solve the solve to be solved. Failure to solve to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension of CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1 and 33-59	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are object	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	f the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	nber)
received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	7
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	ı Q
 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	·U
- Notice of informal ratent Application, P10-132	
	T. V. T. C. V. C. V. V. C.
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	HE FULLUWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/977,846

Art Unit: 3662

- 1. It is noted that claims 2-32 were cancelled by Preliminary Amendment.
- 2. This Office Action is in response to Amendment B with Terminal Disclaimer of 29 March 1999.
- 3. The person who signed the terminal disclaimer is not recognized as an officer of the assignee, and he/she has not been established as being authorized to act on behalf of the assignee.

 See MPEP § 324.
- 4. The assignee has not established its ownership interest in the application, in order to support the terminal disclaimer. There is no submission in the record establishing the ownership interest by either (a) providing documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to the assignee, or (b) specifying (by reel and frame number) where such documentary evidence is recorded in the Office (37 CFR 3.73(b)).
- 5. Since the Terminal Disclaimer is defective for the reasons noted hereinabove, the rejection of record is maintained, but with modifications that were necessitated by amendment.
- 6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Application/Control Number: 08/977,846

Art Unit: 2766

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1 and 33-59 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-28 of U. S. Patent No. 5,751,806 and claims 1-21 of U. S. Patent No. 5,406,626 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Application/Control Number: 08/977,846 Page 4

Art Unit: 2766

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Bernarr Gregory whose telephone number is (703) 603-1787. The FAX

number for the Art Unit is (703) 306-4195. (Please note that the examiner does not receive the

FAX transmission since it is done at a FAX center, and that he can not confirm receipt since it

must reach him through office mail.)

Bernarr E. Gregory

Buxun E. 17 m

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3662

beg

May 25, 1999